Virtual Japan: Simulation-Based Earthquake Hazard Assessment

Project Summary

Kasey Schultz

Overview

In collaboration with Professor Shinji Toda at Tohoku University, I plan to build a model of the earthquake fault system in Japan and produce an earthquake forecast for the region. I will be using the Virtual Quake (formerly Virtual California) earthquake simulator, a physics-based earthquake simulation code originally developed by my advisor Professor John Rundle, but with more recent contributions from many others, notably Eric Heien and Michael Sachs.

I have been further developing Virtual Quake (VQ) and have used it to produce earthquake forecasts and statistics for the California fault system; the results of which have recently been published (see Biographical Sketch). I am proposing to build a VQ-compatible Japanese fault system from existing geological data. Using high-performance computing facilities at Tohoku University, I will run high resolution simulations of VQ using the developed Japan fault model. From the simulation data I will construct the earthquake statistics for Japan and produce an earthquake forecast. Given that much of the requisite data is in Japan and in Japanese, I must be in Japan collaborating with Japanese scientists and students to complete this project.

Intellectual Merit

In 2012 Virtual Quake (then called Virtual California) — as a member of the QuakeSim team — was co-winner of NASA's Software of the Year award. Virtual Quake has become the standard fault system simulator code for California and it is the most widely used. It is now maintained by the NSF-supported Computational Infrastructure for Geodynamics (CIG)[†]. In the first year since being released on CIG, it has been downloaded more than 150 times by users on four continents.

By design, Virtual Quake is the only physics-based fault system simulator that can produce earthquake statistics and forecasts on a global scale. To date VQ has only been applied to the fault system in California. I have recently added to Virtual Quake a fault-building tool and I have produced a user's manual explaining how to build a fault system, run a VQ simulation, and how to analyze simulation data. Building on these improvements, the Virtual Japan project will be a proof-of-concept demonstrating both the utility of the Virtual Quake software and the scientific value of the resulting data.

[†] http://geodynamics.org/cig/software/vq/

Broader Impacts

During the past decade earthquakes have killed nearly 800,000 people worldwide, more than the previous 30 years combined, and caused \$44 billion per year in damages. In just the past four years we have seen two of the most devastating natural disasters ever recorded. The Great East Japan Earthquake in March 2011 and subsequent tsunami caused more than \$200 billion in damages, and crippled the country's energy production for years to come. Both Japan and California are particularly susceptible to these natural disasters, having two of the most active fault systems in the world in close proximity to some of the largest population densities.

This project serves to produce tangible and useful science results from the growing interaction and collaboration between Association of Pacific Rim Universities (APRU) researchers studying natural hazards. Upon completion, results from this project will provide an independent, physicsbased method for evaluating earthquake risk on the major faults in Japan. Furthermore, by applying the Virtual Quake model to a new fault system, this project sets a precedent for implementing our open source, physics-based seismic hazard assessment tool that any researcher can use to identify which faults in a region are most likely to produce the next large damaging earthquake.

Virtual Japan: Simulation-Based Earthquake Hazard Assessment Project Description

Kasey Schultz

1 Project Synopsis

In collaboration with Professor Shinji Toda at Tohoku University, I plan to produce an earthquake forecast for the Japanese fault system. To accomplish this, I will build a model of the earthquake fault system in Japan from existing geological data. I will use this fault model as input to an earthquake simulator and simulate tens of thousands of years of earthquakes. From the simulation data, I will compute the earthquake recurrence statistics for the Japanese faults and then produce an earthquake forecast for the region.

I will be using the Virtual Quake earthquake simulator (formerly called Virtual California), a physics-based earthquake simulation code originally developed by my advisor Professor John Rundle, with more recent contributions from many others. Virtual Quake is the only physicsbased fault system simulator that can produce earthquake statistics and forecasts on a global scale. However, Virtual Quake simulations have only been used to study the fault system in California. I have analyzed the earthquake statistics and published earthquake probabilities for the California fault system in the same manner I am proposing for Japan. Furthermore, Yoder et al. 2015 [13] showed that the statistics of Virtual Quake simulated earthquakes can be used to classify fault interactions and determine which faults behave more predictably.

This project serves to produce tangible and useful science results from the growing interaction and collaboration between Association of Pacific Rim Universities (APRU) researchers studying natural hazards. Upon completion, results from this project will provide an independent, physicsbased method for evaluating earthquake risk on the major faults in Japan. Furthermore, by applying the Virtual Quake model to a new fault system, this project sets a precedent for implementing our open source, physics-based seismic hazard assessment tool. Any researcher can use Virtual Quake to identify which faults in a region are most likely to produce the next large damaging earthquake.

Given that much of the requisite data for this project is in Japan and in Japanese, I must be in Japan collaborating with Japanese scientists and students to complete this project.

2 Timeline

Week 1: Collaborating with Prof. Toda to identify the best sources for the fault data

Weeks 2-3: Assimilating fault data from various sources

Weeks 4-6: Building a Virtual Quake compatible fault model from assimilated data

Week 7: Running simulations on Japan fault system

Weeks 8-9: Analyzing simulation results, preparing earthquake statistics and forecast

Post-EAPSI: Preparing a manuscript for publication

3 Background

My advisor Prof. John Rundle is currently the Executive Director of the Cooperation for Earthquake Simulations within the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC). He is also a Senior Advisor of the APRU Multi-Hazards Program and a Visiting Professor at the International Research Institute of Disaster Science (IRIDeS) within Tohoku University. This close collaboration with Japanese scientists resulted in my participation in the 2014 Multi-Hazards Summer School at Tohoku University, and eventually resulted in this proposed project.

This proposed project will use the Virtual Quake simulator to produce useful, tangible science results from the growing collaboration among APRU researchers studying natural hazards. Results from this project will provide an independent, physics-based method for evaluating earthquake risk on the major faults in Japan. After completing my proposed NSF-EAPSI project, I will submit these results to a major scientific journal for publication.

3.1 Virtual Quake earthquake simulator

Virtual Quake (VQ) is a computer program that simulates topologically realistic driven earthquake fault systems [4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 13]. It is designed to quickly simulate many thousands of events over tens or hundreds of thousands of years of simulation time (described in detail in [7, 3, 8, 13]). Virtual Quake produces a rich dataset to study the statistical properties of earthquakes on the input fault system, and this can be used to compute the probabilities of earthquakes on a particular fault or groups of faults.

A Virtual Quake simulation consists of three main components: an input fault model, simulation physics, and a rupture (earthquake) model.

3.1.1 Fault Model

The fault model serves as the input for a Virtual Quake simulation. In general, fault models are comprised of fault geometry, long-term slip rates, and frictional parameters derived from field observations. The fault model is used to create the interacting members of a Virtual Quake simulation, the fault elements.

A portion of the California fault model used in the most recent Virtual Quake simulations is shown in Figure 1a. This model is comprised of 181 fault sections corresponding to known faults in California [1]. Each fault section is partitioned into square elements that are approximately 3 km 3 km, for a total of 14,474 elements.

3.1.2 Simulation Physics

The behavior of the system is completely determined by interactions between elements via stress accumulation before events and stress release from elements during events. The stress on each element is computed by quasi-static Green's functions [7].

3.1.3 Rupture Model

A simulation begins with all elements in their equilibrium positions, and the elements are then driven at the long-term slip rates defined by the fault model. This builds stress on the elements until the stress on a particular element exceeds a critical value, at which point the element "breaks" and transfers stress to the surrounding segments by slipping back toward its equilibrium position. The transferred stress results in propagating ruptures through the system, a simulated earthquake.



(a) The UCERF2 California fault model meshed into 3km x 3km elements. [1]

(b) A subset of Northern California faults from the UCERF2 model used to construct statistics shown in Figure 3.





Figure 2: An example of the complex, multi-fault earthquakes produced by Virtual Quake, the intensity of the red color indicates the amount of slip on each fault element.

4 Research Plan

In collaboration with Professor Shinji Toda at Tohoku University, I am proposing to build a Virtual Quake compatible Japanese fault system from existing geological data. This will require close collaboration with researchers at Tohoku University to first identify the best sources for the requisite geological data. After acquiring the fault data, I will then assimilate the information into a Virtual Quake input fault model. Using computing resources at Tohoku University, I will run high resolution simulations of the Japan fault model. From the simulation data I will construct the earthquake statistics for Japan and produce an earthquake forecast.

4.1 Computing Earthquake Probabilities with VQ

The Virtual Quake output data that are fundamental in generating earthquake probabilities are the recurrence times, defined as the time between successive earthquakes on a particular fault. From the simulation output it is simple to compute the statistical distribution of recurrence times. This distribution is fundamental to computing the probabilities of future earthquakes and assessing earthquake risk [6, 9, 13]. I will illustrate the method by providing an example forecast for magnitude 7.5 or larger earthquakes in Northern California.

For this forecast I am using data from a 50,000 year simulation of the California fault system using the ALLCAL2 model [12], a minimally-modified version of the UCERF2 model [1]. In this simulation there were 500 earthquakes with moment magnitudes $M \ge 7.5$ that caused slip on at least one fault section shown in Figure 1b. These earthquakes have an average recurrence time of 99.3 years, with the maximum being 507.6 years. From this data I construct the distribution of recurrence times t, computed as the cumulative histogram of recurrence intervals, shown in Figure 3a as the $t_0 = 0$ curve. The smooth curves in Figure 3a are fits of the data to the Weibull distribution, the accepted distribution for recurrence statistics [6].

In addition to the distribution of recurrence times, for earthquakes with moment magnitudes $M \geq 7.5$ I compute the distribution of waiting times Δt until the next earthquake. The waiting times, shown in Figure 3b, are computed as a function of the time since the last large earthquake, t_0 . Defining the last major earthquake in northern California to be the 1906 San Francisco earthquake determines $t_0 = 2015 - 1906 = 109$ years. The waiting time Δt is measured forward from the present, such that $t = t_0 + \Delta t$. I express the results in terms of the conditional cumulative probability $P(t, t_0)$ that an earthquake will occur in the waiting time $\Delta t = t - t_0$, given that the last major earthquake occurred t_0 years ago.

Immediately after a large northern California earthquake, e.g. 1906, we have $t_0 = 0$ years. At that time, Figure 3 states that there was a 50% chance of having an earthquake with $M \ge 7.5$ in the next t = 82 years, i.e. by 1988. In 2015, it has been 109 years since the last great northern California earthquake. We see in Figure 3b that for $t_0 = 109$ years there is a 50% chance of having another great earthquake in the next $\Delta t = 57$ years, and a 75% chance in the next $\Delta t = 94$ years.

5 Proposed Host Researcher

For this project my proposed host researcher is Professor Shinji Toda of IRIDeS and the Department of Geophysics at Tohoku University. Prof. Toda's formal background is in geology and his research interest is to understand the mechanics of earthquakes using geophysical and geological techniques. He has recently published articles on stress transfer and earthquake probability associated with destructive earthquakes in Japan [11] and on earthquake probability studies using earthquake statistics, paleoseismic and historical data [10].





(a) Simulation-derived conditional cumulative proba- (b) The waiting times for the next earthquake as a data to the Weibull distribution.

bility $P(t, t_0)$ that an earthquake will occur at time function of t_0 . The dark line indicates the median $t = t_0 + \Delta t$, computed for various t_0 — the time since waiting time (50% probability), and the upper and the last $M \geq 7.5$ earthquake — to show the evolution lower edges of the yellow band represent the waiting of the distribution. The smooth curves are a fit of the times with 75% and 25% probability respectively. The blue vertical line denotes $t_0 = 109$ years.

Figure 3

6 Scientific and Cultural Value

During the past decade earthquakes have killed nearly 800,000 people worldwide, more than the previous 30 years combined, and caused \$44 billion per year in damages. In just the past four years we have seen two of the most devastating natural disasters ever recorded. The Great East Japan Earthquake in March 2011 and subsequent tsunami caused more than \$200 billion in damages, and crippled the country's energy production for years to come. Both Japan and California are particularly susceptible to these natural disasters, having two of the most active fault systems in the world in close proximity to some of the largest population densities.

By design, Virtual Quake is the only physics-based fault system simulator that can produce earthquake statistics and forecasts on a global scale. In 2012 Virtual Quake (then called Virtual California) – as a member of the QuakeSim team – was co-winner of NASA?s Software of the Year award. Virtual Quake has become the standard fault system simulator code for California and it is the most widely used. It is now maintained by the NSF-supported Computational Infrastructure for Geodynamics $(CIG)^{\dagger}$. In the first year since being released on CIG, it has been downloaded more than 150 times by users on four continents. Virtual Quake will be one of the two earthquake simulators used to analyze the recently released UCERF3 fault model for California — the standard California fault system produced by the Working Group on California Earthquake Probabilities and the USGS [2].

To date VQ has only been applied to the fault system in California. This project serves to produce tangible and useful science results from the growing interaction and collaboration between Association of Pacific Rim Universities (APRU) researchers studying natural hazards. By applying the Virtual Quake model to a new fault system, this project sets a precedent for implementing our open source, physics-based seismic hazard assessment tool that any researcher can use to identify which faults in a region are most likely to produce the next large damaging earthquake.

References

- E. H. Field, T. E. Dawson, K. R. Felzer, A. D. Frankel, V. Gupta, T. H. Jordan, T. Parsons, M. D. Petersen, R. S. Stein, R. J. Weldon, and C. J. Wills. Uniform california earthquake rupture forecast, version 2 (ucerf 2). Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 99(4):2053–2107, 2009.
- [2] Edward H. Field, Ramon J. Arrowsmith, Glenn P. Biasi, Peter Bird, Timothy E. Dawson, Karen R. Felzer, David D. Jackson, Kaj M. Johnson, Thomas H. Jordan, Christopher Madden, Andrew J. Michael, Kevin R. Milner, Morgan T. Page, Tom Parsons, Peter M. Powers, Bruce E. Shaw, Wayne R. Thatcher, Ray J. Weldon, and Yuehua Zeng. Uniform california earthquake rupture forecast, version 3 (ucerf3) —the time independent model. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 104(3):1122–1180, 2014.
- [3] E.M. Heien and M. Sachs. Understanding long-term earthquake behavior through simulation. Computing in Science Engineering, 14(5):10–20, 2012.
- [4] J. B. Rundle. A physical model for earthquakes: 1. Fluctuations and interactions. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 93(B6):6237–6254, 1988.
- [5] J. B. Rundle. A physical model for earthquakes: 2. Application to southern California. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 93(B6):6255–6274, 1988.
- [6] J. B. Rundle, P. B. Rundle, A. Donnellan, D. L. Turcotte, R. Shcherbakov, P. Li, B. D. Malamud, L. B. Grant, G. C. Fox, D. McLeod, G. Yakovlev, J. Parker, W. Klein, and K. F. Tiampo. A simulation-based approach to forecasting the next great san francisco earthquake. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 102(43):15363–15367, 2005.
- [7] M. K. Sachs, E. M. Heien, D. L. Turcotte, M. B. Yikilmaz, J. B. Rundle, and L.H. Kellogg. Virtual California Earthquake Simulator. *Seismological Research Letters*, 83(6):973–978, 2012.
- [8] K. W. Schultz, M. K. Sachs, E. M. Heien, J. B. Rundle, D. L. Turcotte, and A. Donnellan. Simulating gravity changes in topologically realistic driven earthquake fault systems: First results. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*, (in press), 2014. doi: 10.1007/s00024-014-0926-4.
- [9] Kasey W. Schultz, Michael K. Sachs, Mark R. Yoder, John B. Rundle, Don L. Turcotte, Eric M. Heien, and Andrea Donnellan. Virtual quake: Statistics, co-seismic deformations and gravity changes for driven earthquake fault systems. *International Association of Geodesy Symposia*, pages 1–9, 2015. doi: 10.1007/1345_2015_134. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/1345_2015_134.
- [10] Shinji Toda and Ross S. Stein. The 2011 m=9.0 tohoku oki earthquake more than doubled the probability of large shocks beneath tokyo. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 40(11):2562–2566, 2013. ISSN 1944-8007.
- [11] Shinji Toda, Ross S. Stein, and Jian Lin. Widespread seismicity excitation throughout central japan following the 2011 m=9.0 tohoku earthquake and its interpretation by coulomb stress transfer. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 38(7), 2011. ISSN 1944-8007.
- [12] Terry E. Tullis, Keith Richards-Dinger, Michael Barall, James H. Dieterich, Edward H. Field, Eric M. Heien, Louise H. Kellogg, Fred F. Pollitz, John B. Rundle, Michael K. Sachs, Donald L. Turcotte, Steven N. Ward, and M. Burak Yikilmaz. A Comparison among Observations and Earthquake Simulator Results for the allcal2 California Fault Model. *Seismological Research Letters*, 83(6):994–1006, 2012.
- [13] Mark R. Yoder, Kasey W. Schultz, Eric M. Heien, John B. Rundle, Donald L. Turcotte, Jay W. Parker, and Andrea Donnellan. The Virtual Quake earthquake simulator: a simulation-based forecast of the El Mayor-Cucapah region and evidence of predictability in simulated earthquake sequences. *Geophysical Journal International*, 203(3):1587–1604, 2015. doi: 10.1093/gji/ggv320.

Virtual Japan: Simulation-Based Earthquake Hazard Assessment Contacting Host Researcher

Kasey Schultz

My advisor Prof. John Rundle is currently a Senior Advisor of the APRU Multi-Hazards Program and a Visiting Professor at the International Research Institute of Disaster Science (IRIDeS) within Tohoku University. This close collaboration with Japanese scientists resulted in my participation in the 2014 Multi-Hazards Summer School at Tohoku University, and eventually resulted in this proposed project. I did not meet Professor Toda at Tohoku University during the summer school, instead we met after I returned to the US.

Returning to California I met my advisor's long-time colleague Prof. Shinji Toda at the Southern California Earthquake Center's (SCEC) annual meeting. Shortly after the conference I became aware of the NSF-EAPSI scholarship, I immediately knew I should work with Prof. Toda to extend our earthquake simulator to the Japanese fault system to produce earthquake forecasts. After a few emails, my advisor agreed that it was an excellent idea for a project and we contacted Prof. Toda, who agreed immediately.

Professor Shinji Toda IRIDeS at Tohoku University Sendai, Miyagi, Japan toda@irides.tohoku.ac.jp

Virtual Japan: Simulation-Based Earthquake Hazard Assessment Data Management Plan

Kasey Schultz

The data to be used, generated, managed, and shared in this project are of four types: (1) geological data from Japanese scientists or databases, and possibly from literature searches; (2) the assimilated Japanese fault model (Virtual Japan); (3) software related to the Virtual Quake simulator; and (4) simulation data, science results, reports and publications.

We will use established best practices for data management and sharing, designed to ensure the integrity, preservation, and availability of all of these data:

- (1) Japanese geological data. Much of the data to be used in this project will be retained for appropriate periods of time by each individual investigator who collects it. Regular backups will preserve the data locally, and sources will be cited in any publications.
- (2) Assimilated fault model. The NSF-funded Computational Infrastructure for Geodynamics (CIG) currently maintains the release version of Virtual Quake. The resulting Japanese fault model, in addition to possibly being maintained by IRIDeS or Tohoku University, will be integrated into the fault models provided with the release version of Virtual Quake found on CIG http://geodynamics.org/cig/software/vq.
- (3) Virtual Quake simulator is provided by CIG under the MIT license. Our group uses and is committed to modern practices for software development, including version control, use case testing, support for multiple platforms, and documentation within source code.
- (4) Peer-reviewed publications and electronic supplements preserve data products (images, results of analysis of models, and so on), while metadata can be maintained locally through backup systems. Publications and presentations made at meetings (such as AOGS 2016), as well as manuals and technical reports, will be made available for download on the Virtual Quake page at CIG.

General data management practices: The Virtual Quake group uses a GitHub repository for source code and data storage, and we collaborate daily with CIG, who provide support and guidance for high performance computing, data management, software version control, and other related issues.

kwschultz @ucdavis.edu

https:/	/github.com	/kwschultz
---------	-------------	------------

Education					
	University of California, Davis	$2012 \rightarrow \text{Present}$			
	M.S. III Physics — Dec 2013 Ph D in Physics — 2016, expected				
	University of Miami, Coral Gables, FL	$2008 \rightarrow 2012$			
	B.S. in Physics, Applied Mathematics. Cum Laude				
Research	Craduate Student Researcher	June $2013 \rightarrow \text{Present}$			
	Advisor: Prof. John Rundle	June 2015 \rightarrow 1 resent			
	• Lead programmer and technical lead on the NASA-funded Virtual Quake project, a high performance earthquake simulator used for seismic hazard assessment				
	• Developing computational infrastructure for generating catalogs of observable seismic surface patterns as well as earthquake and tsunami scenario catalogs				
	• Developing and implementing computational tools for simulation data analysis, diagnostic tools and visualization				
	Department of Astronomy, California Institute of Technology, Pas	adena. CA			
	Summer Undergraduate Research Fellow	Summer 2011			
	Advisor: Dr. Brendan Crill, NASA JPL				
	• Updated and expanded the data analysis pipeline for the Plan	nck collaboration			
	Identified correlations between detector model personators usi	ng the $D \cap E$'s supersom			
	• Identified correlations between detector model parameters using puting center NERSC	ng the D.O.E. S supercom-			
	Department of Physics, University of Miami				
	Research Assistant	$2009 \rightarrow 2012$			
	Advisor: Prof. Kevin Huffenberger				
	• Reconstructed the optical properties of a Cosmic Microwave Ba from observational data; identified a selection bias in the WM	ckground telescope (WMAP) AP point source catalog			
Published Research	K. W. Schultz, M. K. Sachs, E. M. Heien, M. R. Yoder, J. B. Rundle, D. L. Turcotte, and A. Donnellan, Virtual Quake: Statistics, Co-Seismic Deformations and Gravity Changes for Driven Earthquake Fault Systems, International Association of Geodesy Symposia, in press (2015), DOI: 10.1007/1345_2015_134				
	M. R. Yoder, K. W. Schultz, E. M. Heien, J. B. Rundle, D. L. Turcotte, J. W. Parker and A. Donnellan. The Virtual Quake earthquake simulator: A simulation based forecast of the El Mayor-Cucapah region and evidence of earthquake predictability, Geophysical Journal International, 2015 203 (3): 1587-1604, DOI: 10.1093/gji/ggv320				
	M. R. Yoder, K. W. Schultz, E. M. Heien, J. B. Rundle, D. L. Turc Donnellan. Forecasting earthquakes with the Virtual Quake simu partitioned catalogs, International Association of Geodesy Sympos	otte, J. W. Parker and A. <i>llator: Regional and fault-</i> sia, under review (2015)			
	K.W. Schultz, M.K. Sachs, J.B. Rundle, D.L. Turcotte, Simulating Gravity Changes in Topolog- ically Realistic Driven Earthquake Fault Systems, Pure and Applied Geophysics DOI: 10.1007/s00024-014-0926-4, in press (2014)				

K. W. Schultz and K. M. Huffenberger, Stacking catalogue sources in WMAP data. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, Volume 424, Issue 4, pp. 3028-3036 (2012) Conferences

& Talks	 K. W. Schultz, M. K. Sachs, E. M. Heien, M.R. Yoder, J. B. Rundle, D. L. Turcotte, A. Donnellan. oral: Scenario Earthquake and Tsunami Simulations for a Pacific Rim GNSS Tsunami Early Warning System: First Results 9th Meeting of the APEC Cooperation for Earthquake Simulation, Chengdu, China. 2015 K. W. Schultz, M. K. Sachs, E. M. Heien, M.R. Yoder, J. B. Rundle, D. L. Turcotte, A. Donnellan. poster: Virtual Quake: The Software Formerly Known as Virtual California Seismological Society of America (SSA) Meeting 2015, Pasadena, CA. 2015 				
	 K. W. Schultz, M. K. Sachs, E. M. Heien, J. B. Rundle, J. Fernandez, D. L. Turcotte, A. Donnellan. talk: Virtual Quake: Earthquake Statistics, Surface Deformation Patterns, Surface Gravity Changes and InSAR Interferograms for Arbitrary Fault Geometries (won an OSPA award), American Geophysical Union (AGU) Fall Meeting 2014, San Francisco, CA, 2014 K. W. Schultz, J. B. Rundle, M. K. Sachs, K. F. Tiampo, T. J. Hayes, J. Fernandez, D. L. Turcotte, A. Donnellan. talk: Monitoring Major Fault Systems from Space: Modeling Implications for Dedicated Gravity Missions. GENAH Conference. Matsushima, Japan. 2014 				
Teaching	Teaching Assistant, Department of Physics, University of California, Davis	$2012 \rightarrow 2013$			
& Tutoring	Physics & Math tutor, Department of Biology, Barry University , Miami Shores, H	FL 2012			
Tutoring	Physics tutor, Department of Physics, University of Miami	$2011 \rightarrow 2012$			
Honors & Awards	UC Davis Graduate Student Travel Award (\$1000)	2015			
	Winner of an Outstanding Student Paper Award in Natural Hazards Awarded to top 3-5% of presenters in each section at the American Geophysical Union	2014 n 2014 meeting			
	Member, Omicron Delta Kappa One of the highest collegiate honors along with Phi Kappa Phi and Phi Beta K	2011 арра			
	Isaac Bashevis Singer Scholarship Full academic scholarship to the University of Miami (UM), 30 annually.	$2008 \rightarrow 2012$			
	Foote Fellow Highest academic honor at UM, fellows freely design their curriculum, 50 annua	$2008 \rightarrow 2012$ ally			
	NSF CSMS Scholarship NSF Computer Science and Mathematics for Scientists, 5 annually at UM	2010			
	Beyond the Book Scholarship Supported summer research, UM College of Arts and Sciences, 12 annually	2010			
	National Ocean Scholarship Awarded by the Consortium for Ocean Leadership, 4 in the U.S. annually	$2008 \rightarrow 2010$			
Computing Skills	Languages: Python, C++, R, IAT _E X, Bash. Experience with: SQL, HTML, SWIG Modules & Libraries: GitHub source control, Matplotlib, Numpy, Scipy	, Java			
Study Abroad	Summer 2009: ACC Summer Study Abroad in China and Vietnam. Studied environmental science, policy, and toxicology at:				
	• South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou, China.				
	• Yunnan University, Kunming, China.				
	• Hanoi University of Mining and Geology, Hanoi, Vietnam.				